

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTY, DOCKET NO.

09/35020V APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE

		EXAMINER
		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
		1644 6
		DATE MAILED:
	This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.	
1	COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	
	OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY	
3	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/26/06	
כ	This action is FINAL.	
٦	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution	on as to the merits is closed in
	accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	ni ao to tiio iiioiito io olooca III
sh	nortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	month(s), or thirty days,
vhic	chever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within t	he period for response will cause
	application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtain 36(a).	ned under the provisions of 37 CFR
Disp	position of Claims	
3	Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application
_	Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
	Claim(s) E77-57 57 573	is/are allowed.
_	Claim(s) 50-55, 54, 58	is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
	Claim(s) are si	ubject to restriction or election requireme
		,
App	olication Papers	
	Control of the Contro	
1	See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
	The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected	
	The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected The proposed drawing correction, filed onis/are objected	
	The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
	The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected The proposed drawing correction, filed onis/are objected	
	The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
Pric	The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Pric	The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	is
Pric	The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have	is
	The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have received.	is
   	The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have	is
Price	The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have received.  received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	is
	The drawing(s) filed on	is
	The drawing(s) filed on	is
Price	The drawing(s) filed on	is
Pric	The drawing(s) filed on	is
Pric	The drawing(s) filed on	is
Prior	The drawing(s) filed on	is
Price Atte	The drawing(s) filed on	is

Serial No. 09/350202 Art Unit 1644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's amendment, filed 7/8/99 (Paper No. 2) is acknowledged. Claims 2-49 have been canceled. Claims 50-59 have been added.

Claims 1 and 50-59 are pending.

- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Invention: wherein the second agent is:
  - A) anti-CD28 antibodies or
  - B) a stimulatory form of a natural ligand of CD28.

These species are distinct because they differ with respect to their structure to the extent that a person of ordinary skill in the art would not envision one in view of the other. They are therefore separate and patentably distinct species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 50 are generic.

3. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

4. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Serial No. 09/350202 Art Unit 1644

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip Gambel whose telephone number is (703) 308-3997. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

Phillip Gambel, PhD.
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 1600
September 20, 2000

- 7. Applicant's comments on the common ownership between the instant application and copending USSNs 09/183,055 and 09/350,202 are acknowledged.
- 8. Claims 50, 53 and 57-58 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,352,694. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the pending and patented claims are drawn to the same or nearly the same methods of stimulating T cells with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies and, in particular, the patented claims anticipate the instant claims.

Applicant's amendment, filed 5/5/03 (Paper No. 20), notes that a terminal disclaimer may be filed when allowable subject matter is indicated.

## 9. Newly Added Double Patenting Rejection:

Claims 50, 53 and 57-58 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of U.S. Patent No. 6,534,055. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the pending and patented claims are drawn to the same or nearly the same methods of stimulating T cells with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies and, in particular, the patented claims anticipate the instant claims. The patented claims anticipate the instant methods.

## 10. No claim is allowed.

11 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip Gambel whose telephone number is (703) 308-3997. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

Phillip Gambel, PhD.

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600

October 15, 2003